

Log Concavity of Restricted Integer Partitions The Game

Nichole Smith

SUNY Potsdam/Valparaiso University

July 2016

For the Next Eight Minutes...

- 1 Log Concavity: A Refresher
- 2 Playing the Game with Two Parts
- 3 Stuff We Don't Have Time For

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC
Consider 1 4 6 4 1

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC
Consider 1 4 6 4 1
 - $1^2 \geq 0 \cdot 1$

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC

Consider 1 4 6 4 1

- $1^2 \geq 0 \cdot 1$
- $4^2 \geq 1 \cdot 6$

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC

Consider 1 4 6 4 1

- $1^2 \geq 0 \cdot 1$
- $4^2 \geq 1 \cdot 6$
- $6^2 \geq 4 \cdot 4$

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC

Consider 1 4 6 4 1

- $1^2 \geq 0 \cdot 1$
- $4^2 \geq 1 \cdot 6$
- $6^2 \geq 4 \cdot 4$
- $4^2 \geq 6 \cdot 1$

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

A sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $\forall n \ a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

A sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than the product of the next and previous terms.

- E.g. any row of Pascal's Triangle is LC

Consider 1 4 6 4 1

- $1^2 \geq 0 \cdot 1$
- $4^2 \geq 1 \cdot 6$
- $6^2 \geq 4 \cdot 4$
- $4^2 \geq 6 \cdot 1$
- $1^2 \geq 1 \cdot 0$

What is Log Concavity Again?

Log Concavity

An element a_n of a sequence (a_n) is log concave iff $a_n^2 \geq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$.

Which is to say...

Log Concavity

An element of a sequence is log concave iff each term squared is greater than or equal to the product of the next and previous terms.

Log Concavity: The Work to Do Here

- DeSalvo and Pak proved $p(n)$ is log concave for $n > 25$.

Log Concavity: The Work to Do Here

- DeSalvo and Pak proved $p(n)$ is log concave for $n > 25$.
- We're going to worry about $p(n, m)$ instead.

Previously in Nichi's Presentation

For $p(n, 5)$ $n =$

5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43,
or anything higher is log concave.

For $p(n, 6)$ $n =$

6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48,
, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 84, 86,
or anything higher is log concave.

For $p(n, 2)$ $n = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26$, or any
other even number is log concave.

Conjecture

$$\forall m \geq 2, r \geq 0, (p(m+2r, m))^2 \geq p(m+2r+1, m)p(m+2r-1, m)$$

That is:

If m is even, $p(n, m)$ fulfills the LC requirement if n is even.

If m is odd, $p(n, m)$ fulfills the LC requirement if n is odd.

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.
- Then for each element of the sequence of sets:

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.
- Then for each element of the sequence of sets:
 - Take the Cartesian product of the element with itself

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.
- Then for each element of the sequence of sets:
 - Take the Cartesian product of the element with itself
 - Take the Cartesian product of the previous element with the next element

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.
- Then for each element of the sequence of sets:
 - Take the Cartesian product of the element with itself
 - Take the Cartesian product of the previous element with the next element
 - The sequence is log concave iff there's an injection from the latter to the former

How to Do a Combinatorial Proof of Log Concavity

- Each element of the sequence maps to a set
- For our purposes the sets will be of restricted partitions
- So the sequence $p(3, 3), p(4, 3), p(5, 3)$ maps to $\{(1 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(2 + 1 + 1)\}, \{(3 + 1 + 1), (2 + 2 + 1)\}$.
- Then for each element of the sequence of sets:
 - Take the Cartesian product of the element with itself
 - Take the Cartesian product of the previous element with the next element
 - The sequence is log concave iff there's an injection from the latter to the former
 - Note if you can modify a set without changing its cardinality and get a subset, you have an injection

An Easy Example

Let's try seeing if $p(4, 2)$ follows the rules for log concavity.

- $p(4, 2)$ maps to $\{3 + 1, 2 + 2\}$

An Easy Example

Let's try seeing if $p(4, 2)$ follows the rules for log concavity.

- $p(4, 2)$ maps to $\{3 + 1, 2 + 2\}$
- $p(3, 2)$ and $p(5, 2)$ map to $\{2 + 1\}$ and $\{4 + 1, 3 + 2\}$

An Easy Example

Let's try seeing if $p(4, 2)$ follows the rules for log concavity.

- $p(4, 2)$ maps to $\{3 + 1, 2 + 2\}$
- $p(3, 2)$ and $p(5, 2)$ map to $\{2 + 1\}$ and $\{4 + 1, 3 + 2\}$
- $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$

An Easy Example

Let's try seeing if $p(4, 2)$ follows the rules for log concavity.

- $p(4, 2)$ maps to $\{3 + 1, 2 + 2\}$
- $p(3, 2)$ and $p(5, 2)$ map to $\{2 + 1\}$ and $\{4 + 1, 3 + 2\}$
- $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$
- $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set
 - In the first component, add one to the first part

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set
 - In the first component, add one to the first part
 - In the second component, subtract one to the first part

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set
 - In the first component, add one to the first part
 - In the second component, subtract one to the first part
- Then we get the set $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2)\}$

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set
 - In the first component, add one to the first part
 - In the second component, subtract one to the first part
- Then we get the set $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2)\}$
- Is $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2)\}$ a subset of $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$?

An Easy Example: Adding the Rule

Remembering $p(4, 2) \times p(4, 2) =$
 $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$ and
 $p(3, 2) \times p(5, 2) = \{(2 + 1, 4 + 1), (2 + 1, 3 + 2)\}$:

- In each ordered pair in the second set
 - In the first component, add one to the first part
 - In the second component, subtract one to the first part
- Then we get the set $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2)\}$
- Is $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2)\}$ a subset of $\{(3 + 1, 3 + 1), (3 + 1, 2 + 2), (2 + 2, 3 + 1), (2 + 2, 2 + 2)\}$?
- Yes.

The General Case of Two Parts



The General Case of Two Parts

We have

$$S_{2k}XS_{2k} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k + k \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k + k \end{array} \right\}$$

The General Case of Two Parts

We also have

$$S_{2k-1} \times S_{2k+1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-2) + 1 \\ (2k-3) + 2 \\ (2k-4) + 3 \\ (2k-5) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ k + (k-1) \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2k+1 \\ (2k-1) + 2 \\ (2k-2) + 3 \\ (2k-3) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+2) + (k-1) \\ (k+1) + k \end{array} \right\}.$$

The General Case for Two Parts

Apply the Rule:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k + k \end{array} \right\}.$$

The General Case for Two Parts

$$\text{Is } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\}$$

a subset of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} ?$$

The General Case for Two Parts

$$\text{Is } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\}$$

a subset of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (2k-1) + 1 \\ (2k-2) + 2 \\ (2k-3) + 3 \\ (2k-4) + 4 \\ \vdots \\ (k+1) + (k-1) \\ k+k \end{array} \right\} \quad ? \text{ Yes it is.}$$

Success



Stuff We Don't Have Time For

- We can also play this game with more than 2 parts

Stuff We Don't Have Time For

- We can also play this game with more than 2 parts
- Doing this requires adding rules to prevent bad partitions. For example the partition $2+2+2$ becoming $1+2+2$ is bad.

Stuff We Don't Have Time For

- We can also play this game with more than 2 parts
- Doing this requires adding rules to prevent bad partitions. For example the partition $2+2+2$ becoming $1+2+2$ is bad.
- I tried a few methods, but trying really big cases in Maple has always proven me wrong

Stuff We Don't Have Time For

- We can also play this game with more than 2 parts
- Doing this requires adding rules to prevent bad partitions. For example the partition $2+2+2$ becoming $1+2+2$ is bad.
- I tried a few methods, but trying really big cases in Maple has always proven me wrong
- Future Question: What rule(s) do I need to make it work?

References

- DeSalvo, Stephen, and Pak, Igor. “Log-concavity of the partition function.” *Ramanujan J* 38 (2015): 61-73. Web. 23 Jun. 2016.
- Stanley, R. P. (1989), “Log-Concave and Unimodal Sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry.” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 576: 500–535.

Thank you

Thank you.
nichole.smith1@valpo.edu